University of South Florida College of Nursing

Collegiate Homecoming: An Innovative Campus Based Clinical Competency Evaluation of NP Students



Cheryl Wilson MSN, ARNP, ANP-BC Tina Malone DNP, ARNP, FNP-C Rita D'Aoust PhD, ANP-BC, CNE, FAANP, FNAP

Objectives

At the conclusion of this presentation, the learner will:

- Discuss the background for development of the Clinical Competency Exam (CCE)
- Employ the principles of identifying an appropriate term for the Clinical Competency Evaluation
- Apply principles to design a Clinical Competency Evaluation
- Determine the effectiveness of the Clinical Competency Evaluation in thier program

USF

Background and Need

- Shortage of clinical faculty supervising students
 Large number of students needing evaluation
- Desire to develop standardized model for evaluation of proficiency and competency
- Burden of faculty training
- Timing and sequence
- Evidence

USF

CCE/OSCE what is it and how it differs

Usual

- Midterm clinical site visit Full time and adjunct faculty
- 1:10 ratio for site visits per credit
- Clinical sites throughout the state of Florida
- Extensive faculty travel/cost
- Time-2-4 hours per visit
- No control over patient type

CCE

- Midterm and end of semester evaluation - Full time and adjunct faculty
- 1:10 ratio per credit ٠
- Evaluation performed at the College of Nursing
- Each student evaluated over 2 hours
- Standardized patient scenario with defined outcomes

USF

CCE Development

- Selection of the scenario
- SP training ٠
- Evaluation rubric •
- Faculty training
- Scheduling



USF

Template Development Tools

· What should every student in this course know - Criteria: Must know, important to know, good to know

- Physical and psychological fidelity
- Ability to include components not always available in practice setting such as informatics, diagnostic review, patient education, treatment plan, medication, realistic referral

USF

CCE 1.0 Results

- 75-80% failed 1st attempt
- Damage control with students, preceptors, and faculty
- Remediation plan



Lessons Learned: CCE 2.0 Faculty

- Timing
- Formative and summative
- Rubric
- Communication and coordination
- Selection of OSCEs for SP with course content
- Faculty role in formative and summative

USF

CCE 2.0 - Student

- Peer review opportunity in formative
- Self awareness
- Progress notes
- Increased demand
- Use as clinical hours
- Mandatory
- Student in role of decision maker
- Environment safe and conducive to active learning

USF

Future Plans

- Integration in all clinical courses
- · Faculty development
- Physical resource needs for OSCE lab
- Adoption in professional settings
- IPE with MD, NP, Pharmacy students
- PCMH, telehealth

USF



References

- Beckham, N. (2013). Objective Structured Clinical Evaluation effectiveness in clinical evaluation for family nurse practitioner students. Clinical Simulation in Nursing, 9(10), 453-459. doi:10.1016/j.cens.2013.04.009
 McWilliam, P., & Botwinski, C. (2012). Identifying strengths and weaknesses in the utilization of Objective Structured Clinical Examination (OSCE) in a nursing program. Nursing Education Perspectives, 33(1), 35-39. doi:10.5480/1536-5026-33.135
 Nulty, D., Mitchell, M., Jeffrey, C., Henderson, A., & Groves, M. (2011). Best practice guidelines for use of OSCE's: Maximizing value for student learning. Nurse Education Today, 31(2), 145-151. doi:10.1016/j.nedt.2010.05.06
 Smith, V., Muldoon, K., & Blesty, L. (2012). The Objective Structured Clinical Examination (OSCE) as a strategy for assessing clinical competence in midwifery education in Ireland: A critical review. Nurse Education in Practice, 12(5), 242-247. doi: 10.1016/j.nedt.2010.012
 Yuan, H. B., Williams, B., Fang, J. B., & Ye, O. H. (2012). A systematic review of selected evidence on improving knowledge and skills through high fidelity simulation. Nurse Education Today, 32(3), 294-298. doi:10.1016/j.nedt.2011.07.010

USF